

©
Government of Kerala
കേരള സർക്കാർ
2010



Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ
KL/TV(N)/12/2009-2011

KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LV
വാല്യം 55

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY
തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ

23rd February 2010
2010 ഫെബ്രുവരി 23
4th Phalguna 1931
1931 ഫാൽഗുനം 4

No. } 8
നമ്പർ }

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department
Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G O. (Rt.) No. 2020/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Managing Director, Kerala State Beverages Corporation Limited, Sasthamangalam, Thiruvananthapuram, 2. The Manager, Kerala State Beverages Corporation Limited, Ware House, Kottayam and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. V. R. Sobhana, Vattukalathil, Moolavattom, Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Smt. V. R. Sobhana by the management of Kerala State Beverages Corporation Limited is justifiable?
2. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?

(2)

G O. (Rt.) No. 2022/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Valsala Gopinath, Proprietrix, Lekshmi Gas Agency, Neyyattinkara and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Manju, Narayana Vilasam, Kottackattu Veedu, Thiruppuram P. O., Neyyattinkara, Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXUE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Manju, Cashier, M/s. Lekshmi Gas Agency, Neyyattinkara from 9-7-2008 is justifiable or not? If not, what are the relief she is entitled to?

(3)

G O. (Rt.) No. 2148/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Pallichal Farmers Service-Co-operative Bank Limited No. T 677, Pallichal P. O., Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri N. K. Rajendran, Raralayam Pallichal, Vedicamkovil P. O., Balaramapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of Shri N. K. Rajendran, Appraiser, Pallichal Farmers Service Co-operative Bank Limited No. T 677 by the management of Pallichal Farmers Service Co-operative Bank Limited No. T 677 is justifiable or not ? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to ?

(4)

G O. (Rt.) No. 2149/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, R and D Department, Madupatty Estate, Madupatty P. O., Idukki District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Workers Congress, Munnar P. O., Idukki District-685 612 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial Dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Shri Velankanni Maria Soosai, Worker of R and D Department, Madupatty Estate by the management is justifiable or not ?
2. If not what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(5)

G O. (Rt.) No. 2150/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Nallathanni Estate, Munnar P. O., Idukki District-685 612 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estates Workers Union (AITUC), Munnar P. O., Idukki District-685 612 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial Dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Subramani, PF No. 8651 of Anaimudy Division, Periyavurai of Nallathanni Estate by the management is justifiable or not ?
2. If not what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(6)

G O. (Rt.) No. 2151/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Nallathanni Estate, Munnar P. O., Idukki District-685 612 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estates Workers Union (AITUC), Munnar P. O., Idukki District-685 612 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial Dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Smt. Velliammal, PF No. 6704 of Kallar Division, of Nallathanni Estate by the management is justifiable or not ?
2. If not what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(7)

G O. (Rt.) No. 2156/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 30th December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Administration, Shri Vishnu Namboodiri, M/s. Steripharm, Cochin Special Economic Zone, Kakkanad, Cochin-37 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, CEPZ Workers Union, XXI/135, Cochin University P. O., Cochin-22 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial Dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the demand of the Union for parity of wages to the workers on the rolls of the establishment prior to 1-1-2003 with that of the 25 workers who rejoined in service on 1-1-2003 is justifiable ?
2. If so, what relief they are entitled to get ?

(8)

G O. (Rt.) No. 105/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th January 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Managing Director, Kerala State Beverages Corporation Limited, Sasthamangalam, Thiruvananthapuram, 2. The Manager, Kerala State Beverages Corporation Limited, Ware House, Kottayam and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. P. M. Jalajakumari, Kuttoth, Parampuzha, Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial Dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Smt. P. M. Jalajakumari by the management of Kerala State Beverages Corporation Limited is Justifiable ?
2. If not what relief the workman entitled to ?

(9)

G O. (Rt.) No. 106/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th January 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Administrator, Century Hospital, Mulakkuzha, Chengannoor, Alappuzha-689 505 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Rajani Balachandran, Kottarathil House, Elanthoor P. O., Pathanamthitta District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial Dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Rajani Balachandran (Kottarathil House, Elanthoor P. O., Pathanamthitta District), Medical Orderly by the management of Century Hospital, Mulakuzha, Chengannoor, Alappuzha is Justifiable or not ?
2. If not what relief she is entitled to ?

(10)

G O. (Rt.) No. 113/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th January 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Wallardie Estate, Vandiperiyar, Peermade and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Peermade Thottam Thozhilali Union (CITU), Vandiperiyar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said Industrial Dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of 4 Workers, 1. Anandan, CR No. 4787, 2. Paul Raj, CR No. 4816, 3. Anandan, CR. No. 4558, 4. Perumal, CR No. 4762 by the management of Wallardie Estate, Vandiperiyar, Peermade is Justifiable ?
2. If not what are the reliefs the above workers entitled to ?

By order of the Governor,

G. SIVAPRASAD,

Under Secretary to Government.